G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references.

Pris 36-22 (1-4)
" 24-20 (1-7)

1923. (Pris) File No. 7-23

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

Pris 42-2461-4
" (5-8)
" 51-27 (1 to 5)

Secret

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. Two.

Part B for September 1923.

SUBJECT

Requesting that G.O no P.1090-13 Pris 36-22-4 dt 17-5-23 may not be made applicable to the Dist + Special Backward also where there are separate establishments.

No P. 1594 dt 12-9-23 To the 98 of Provisions.

States that no orders exempting the District Back ups are necessary.

Memorandum Signed
15/9/23

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Pris)

NOTES.

File No. 7-25

FILE HEADING.

employment of Civil Dispensaries and Special lock ups for operation of Govt. No: P. 10902-13/Pris 36-22-4 dt 17.5.23 by the Deputy Superintendents in charge of lock ups even in cases where they were in charge of Asst Surgeons

From Hon Dy. C. of Prisons.

No. 1233/32-22 Dated 16.7.23. Received 19.7.23

Diary No. 326	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Requesting for reasons stated that the above G/O dt 17.5.23 may not be made applicable to Civil and Special lock ups, where there are female establishments

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Called for reasons. The Dy's proposal seems to require consideration. The G/O dt 17.5 if applied strictly will relieve the Asst Medical & Sanitary Officer of the lock up duties even in Head quarter places, which

326/P

General and Revenue Secretariat. | per File No. 7- of 1923

Serial No.

It is not perhaps the intention of the Govt. Order. It was only in Taluk places where there were Asst Surgeons that difficulty would be felt for clerical aid to carry the Register from the place where Asst Surgeon was living, till the rule had been changed. But the words of the G.O. seems to apply the rule even in Asst Surgeon places. An amendment may be made to the G.O. of 17.5.23, by the Collector applicable only to the Taluk Lockups - i.e. where there are Asst Surgeons & not applicable to Asst Surgeon lock ups. If approved a draft will be forwarded. - Yes.

23/7/23.

Approved - may perhaps be applicable to Sub-Registrars in District Head Quarters may not perhaps be able to devote such attention to the lock-ups. The Asst Surg as usual may be in charge. Never the less if no nothing wrong in the orders already issued, placing the Sub-Registrars in charge

326
P

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Pers. File No. 7 of 1923

Serial No.

The Senior Surgeon's suggestion is good. Apart from the fact that the Sub Regr is a Dr M.D. and will have generally heavy work of his own, it is desirable that the Officer in charge of the Dockyard Hospital be an Officer of some standing.

Draft sanctioning Senior Surgeon's recommendation is put up for approval & Govt orders.

6224 1923

1st Member). 8/8

The Surgeon's recommendation was not intended that the Sub Regr should be placed in charge of the Hospital. What was intended in the rules was that he should succeed to the powers & duties of the Senior Surgeon as regards the Hospital & should be responsible to Commandant, Sappers, Sahibs for Dewangiri & such hospitals where no separate medical officer is sanctioned. There is nothing said in the proposal that the Senior Surgeon should be removed out of Dewangiri.

Draft letter to Senior Surgeon & memo attached 8/8

. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. —

Serial No.

A correction slip must have issued in the case. Please get a copy of it. Further action depends upon the form in which the slip has issued. We may have to issue an order to the effect that in the case of lock-ups in Dist HQ quarters, ~~Asstt~~ the ~~asst~~ Sub-Registrars will not be in charge. It depends upon the slip issued.

cwg
18/8

Cwd. It is ascertained by telephone from the Senior Inspector Hqrs that no correction slips on the lines of 17.5.23 has been issued pending final orders on the present correspondence.

Draft as per the remarks of the 1st Member pasted.

cwg 23/8/23.

Issue

cwg 8/9

lwl 23/8

2 to The Hqrs Firms
no. P. 1574 & 1275-D

Hqrs firm reasons stated that no orders exempting the Dist Lock ups are necy

1555

No. 1233 Office of the Inspector-General of Prisons
in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, the 16th July 1923.

From

Dr. B. Mahomed Usmon, L.M. & S.,
Ag. Inspector-General of Prisons
in Mysore, Bangalore.

To

K. Matthan Esqr., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Bangalore.

Sir,

Adverting to Government Order No.P.10902-13/Pris 36-22- dated the 17th May 1923, passing Orders that the Local Sub Registrars may be placed in charge of the Lock-ups in places where the Assistant Surgeons are now in charge and the latter merely - attending to the medical wants of the Lock-ups like the Sub Assistant Surgeons, I have the honour to bring to your notice that my predecessor in Office proposed the above suggestion owing to the fact that the Assistant Surgeons who were working in Taluk Head Quarters felt the hardship in maintaining the registers of the Lock-ups in the absence of a Clerical Staff.

2. In the Case of District Lock-ups where there is a separate Guarding Establishment, clerical work is being carried on by the head of the Guarding Establishment viz., the Head Warder or the Daffedar of the Lock-up.

3. I request you therefore to kindly issue necessary orders to the effect that the Government Order in question may not be made applicable to the District and Special Lock-ups also where there are separate establishments.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Ag. Inspector-General of Prisons.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191* .

Date of issue 12.9.23

Signed by Mr. C.A.G.

Section Pris

File No. 7-23

Serial No. 2

No. P1594

To The Dy. Commrs.

Sir, With reference to
your letter No. 1233/22-M dated
16.7.23 requesting that the
Distt. Hospital Lockup Nurses
be exempted from the
operation of the Fr. & S. 1090
/Pris 38.22-4 dy 17-5-23, I
am directed to state that it was
in the Fr. under advice
understood that Distt Lock
should be placed in charge
Inspectress. What was

over.

Noted in the order was that the
proposal of the D.G. of Prisons was
approved as the proposal relates
to Chennapatna, Sayaji, Sattleppan
Davanere, & Shivabale open
where no separate order is passed
as such, no order excepting the
disk lockups are necessary, as
Govt. Lr. No. 2, 17.5.23 does not
contemplate the inclusion of these
disk & Sp. lockups under its
purview.

Yours &c

[illegible]

Secy

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by